O. W. 71 & 72—658.414 21-11-29

C.F. 71 & 72.

19 32

Department of L & R Dept

OFFICE Board of Rev

STATION Madras

Rs No. 612

Dated 24-2-33.

1-320/32

To whom sent	Pages in the file		Date	Initial
		Given for copying		
		Copied		
		Examined	8/7 RM	
		Signed		
		Given for despatch		
		Despatched		
		Indexed		
		To be destroyed on		

(SUBJECT.)

Settlement - Resettlement (S. Kanara) - Occupied lands Converted to ryotwari - Subdivision - Sanads issued Approved

* Not to be filled in in the case of permanent records.

7510

C.F. 32.

Settlement DEPARTMENT

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.*

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF
9 - FEB 1933
MADRAS

Received Registered

BOARD OF REVENUE
MADRAS
9-FEB 1933
LAND REVENUE & SETTLEMENT

From (Name) D.I.R. Muir Esquire, I.C.S.,

(Designation) Special Settlement Officer, Party No.IV,

Post Box ....., (STATION) Calicut.

Telegraphic Address .....

Mis. Sent 9.2.33

7 I 157

To The Secretary to the Commissioners
of Land Revenue and Settlement,
Chepauk, M a d r a s.

Ref. A2- No. 151/33-1, dated 6th February 19 33.

Sir,

ENCLOSURES .....

SPARE COPIES .....

Settlement - Resettlement - South Kanara District
Reclassification of occupied dry lands to garden -
Subdivisions - Orders solicited.

(J) In its resolution Mis.No.3132, dated 24-9-1928, the Board approved Mr.Mac Ewen's proposal that, when garden trees are found only in portions of registered dry fields those portions should not be subdivided for the purpose of transferring them to garden but that the garden trees in the entire occupied field should be counted and the whole field should be transferred to garden only if the total number of trees in it is ~~numerous enough~~ sufficient to require the field to be classified as garden, the field being retained as occupied dry in other cases. This 'spread over' principle has been uniformly adopted in Malabar as well as in Mangalore taluk where reclassification inspection has almost been completed. In the proposals for the conduct of resettlement in South Kanara recently submitted to Government with Board's reference No.F.5172/32-1, dated 29-11-1932, approved by Government in their order No.58, Revenue, dated 10-1-1933, reference has however been made to the possibility of dealing with cultivation with garden crops on 'portions of fields now registered as dry' and to the increase in revenue likely to arise on account of transfers of part fields to gardens and wet. I presume that there is no intention of departing from the 'spread over' principle referred to above in the case of garden

lands in South Kanara and that subdivision of registered dry fields need only be made when they are cultivated with wet crops and not when they are cultivated with garden crops. I request to be informed if my presumption is correct.

2/2/33

P.S.O/6-2 Special Settlement Officer

6/2/33 NS 620

-FEB 1933

3

Subject.

R. F. XXII-A-19—28,004—15-3-32 (6)

FOR MEMBER.

Settlement — Resettlement — S. Hanara) — Occupied dry lands converted to garden. Subm. S.S.O's proposal — Improved.

READ AGAIN B. P. No. _____, dated _____ 193

" " " _____, " _____ 193

READ ALSO :-

Register No. _____, From the _____, No. _____, dated _____ 193

" " _____, " " _____, " _____, " 570 33 193 A.

" " _____, " " _____, " _____, " _____ 193 .

RESOLUTION. No. _____ dated _____ 193

(J)

The S.S.O. is informed that the orders issued in O.M. No. 3132 dt. 24.9.28 regarding the reclassn. of ~~occupied~~ dry lands in Matara ~~garden~~ ~~are applicable to~~ shall now be followed in the S. Hanara also

21.2.33

21/2/33

24/2/33.

To the S.S.O. No IV Pass—

Copy to the Coll. of Hanara.

Note by (illegible)

Settlement Resettlement

(S. Kanara) — Reclassificatn.

of occupied dry lands to garden

M 2

S

AS (Sett)

(illegible)

7 5 20/-

(illegible)

To AS (Sett)

Please see the S.S.O's

letter at Pp 1-2 of

2/- It is true that

in the case of Malabar the Board

(J) accepted the S.S.O's proposal

to take into account only the

survey

entire field or survey sub-Dn for

purpose of calculating the number

of garden trees. This policy has

been adopted in the case of malab

2nd para 2 of their order No. 1368 Re

3 (D) of 30.6.1932 Govt. referred to

the cultiv. of garden crops on

portions of fields registered as dry

and that was how borrows of any

lands cultivated with garden crops

came to be referred to by us in the

30.4.37 (N) Board's Ref quoted by the S.S.O.

for orders whether the S.S.O. may

asked to follow the manner hereafter

It is however seen that in certa

> this procedure may result
andship eg. in a field of 2 acs.
mpact portion on one side measuring
) cents may have garden trees
ding an ~~it~~ bearing coconut. The total no. of trees to
be say 25. This will justify fixing the
n field of 2 acs. being treated
ıden though there may be no garden
on the remaining 1½ acs. Perhaps
hardship may not be general
eed not perhaps be taken
to account.

× Minimum no. of coconut trees for a dryland to be treated as garden is 10 per acre – (old Sett. vide para 6 ⑤. Rate vide para 14 at 6 ⑤.

sub-head of a hardship: take ge. example:

(1) 2 acres of garden, only part are bearing at Rs. 2 (or at most Rs. 3) = Rs. 4 (or 6)

(2) ½ acre of garden, at 50 to acre, i.e. 25 "fully bearing", say Rs. 6 rate = Rs. 3 (at least

1½ acres of dry at Re. 1 = 1-8

Rs. 4-8 or so

R.L.N

The S.S.O. may be informed that the SDs' orders applicable to Malabar (i.e. B.P. No. 3132 dt. 24.9.28 (J)) may be followed in S. Kanara also.

Ag/21.2.33

SECY. 21 FEB 1933

KG 20/2/33

TO A.S. (Sett)

A.S. (Le

Draft enclosed.

RA 21.2.33 KG 21.2.33

21/2